

## REMARKS

Upon entry of this response and amendment, claims 21-23 and 25-33 are currently pending in this application. Claim 21 is an independent claim drawn to a hybrid ultra reliable power generating system, with claims 22-23 and 25-27 depending therefrom. Claims 28, 32 and 33 are independent claims drawn to a method of generating continuous power using a hybrid ultra reliable power generating system, with claims 29-31 depending from claim 28. Claim 21 has been amended to correct a typographical error. Claims 28 and 32 have been amended to incorporate the features from the last paragraph of claim 33. Accordingly, Applicant submits that no new matter within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 132 is introduced by the claim amendments.

Claims 28-31 stand rejected as being anticipated by Amir et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,497,624); and, claim 32 stands rejected as being obvious over Amir et al.

The following remarks are made to differentiate the presently claimed invention over the cited references, and are made in anticipation that they will place the application in condition for allowance. Early recognition of allowance of the application is earnestly requested.

**1. Rejection of Claims 21-24, 27-31 and 34**

**Under 35 U.S.C. 102(b)**

Claims 28-31 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Amir et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,497,624, the '624 patent) for the reasons set forth in the Office Action.

**RESPONSE**

The Examiner has indicated that claim 28 (and claims 29-31 which depend therefrom) would be allowable if the same feature added to claim 33 in the previous response was also added to claim 28. By this Response and Amendment, claim 28 has been amended in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that claims 28-31 are now in condition for allowance.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that the '624 patent does not anticipate the claims. Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection.

**2. Rejection of Claims 25-26 and 32-33 Under 35 U.S.C. 103(a)**

Claim 32 stands rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being obvious over the '624 patent for the reasons stated in the Office Action.

### **RESPONSE**

The Examiner has indicated that claim 32 would be allowable if the same feature added to claim 33 in the previous response was also added to claim 32. By this Response and Amendment, claim 32 has been amended in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion. Therefore, Applicants respectfully submit that claim 32 is now in condition for allowance.

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and withdrawal of the rejection of claims as being obvious over '535 patent.

### **ALLOWED CLAIMS**

The Office Action indicates that claims 21-23, 25-27 and 33 are allowed (with a typographical correction to claim 21). By this Response and Amendment, claim 21 has been amended to correct the typographical error, and is thus now in condition for allowance. Applicants thank the Examiner for this indication of allowance of the claims.

### **CONCLUSION**

In view of the foregoing, applicants respectfully request the Examiner to reconsider and withdraw the all pending rejections, and to allow all of the claims pending in this application.

If the Examiner has any questions or comments regarding this matter, he is welcomed to contact the undersigned attorney at the below-listed number and address.

Respectfully submitted,

**NATH & ASSOCIATES**


Date: \_\_\_\_\_

09/11/07

**THE NATH LAW GROUP**

112 South West Street  
Alexandria, VA 22314  
Tel: (703) 548-6284  
Fax: (703) 683-8396

GMN:JLM:sv/rrr.wpd

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Gary M. Nath  
Reg. No. 26,965  
Jerald L. Meyer  
Reg. No. 41,194  
Customer No. 20529